



- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS



The Price of Freedom is Eternal Vigilance

Print Post Publication Number 10000815

Vol. 58 No. 47

02nd December 2022

IN THIS ISSUE

Steppingstones Towards Totalitarianism By Arnis Luks	1
Does the 'End' justify the 'Means'?	2
Aristocracy (Sentinel) As A Public Good	3
A Strong Recommendation For Jack Lang's Book 'Why I Fight' by Peter Davis	4

Thought For The Week: PREFACE: The penetrating message of Democracy in Alberta must of its very nature find recognition and response in the minds of freedom-loving people in all lands. The dynamic action of Albertans in the field of social and financial reform is the manifestation of the greatest social force known to man. It is Social Credit; the belief that, in association with his fellows, man can obtain and secure the results of that association. As the bewildered world moves closer to the centre of a social maelstrom, the message of (Douglas) Social Credit is heard by growing numbers everywhere. In that message is the one human promise of hope for the future; a future in which the aspiration of man may, in the light of a Christian concept of society, elevate him to new heights of achievement and a fuller enjoyment of his heritage.

The struggle outlined in the pages that follow is a record of war between policies. But it is more than that; it is a war between philosophies. On the one hand is the growing philosophy of the jungle, manifest in stateism, centralization and a militant materialism. On the other hand is individuality, personality, a militant Christianity. The battle is joined. The message of (Douglas) Social Credit is more than a cry of hope; it is a challenge to all men of good will to heed the call—join with us and live under a real democracy, or continue to serve the enemy under an oppressive financial dictatorship. - HE Nichols - *'Alberta's Fight For Freedom'*

https://alor.org/Storage/Library/PDF/Nichols_HE-Albertas_Fight_For_Freedom.pdf

STEPPINGSTONES TOWARDS TOTALITARIANISM By Arnis Luks

I spent the past two days reading *'The Occult War'* by Viscount Léon de Poncins https://alor.org/Storage/Library/PDF/de_Poncins_L-The_Occult_War.pdf I needed to more deeply appreciate the 'Revolutionary Spirit' behind our modern times. Fortunately, we carry five of his books in our online library for all to study.

de Poncins records the building blocks of political subversion that were necessary to bring about revolution, culminating in the Bolshevik Revolution 1917. This 'revolutionary spirit' can be traced back millennia, but we need to consider its more modern manifestations that have occurred across Europe, even before the 1799 French Revolution. *'Monarchy: A Study of Louis XIV'* by Hilaire Belloc, is another perspective of intrigue going across Europe of the 17th century, which places further information into this discussion of the 'revolutionary spirit'.

The establishment of national central banks, being the principal vehicle for centralising revolutionary power, began to appear around 1600 in Holland and then in England, 1694 after the supposed 'glorious revolution'. All wars are bankers' wars. WWI and WWII were to de-construct ancient Monarchies, replace them with 'demarcacy', and establish communism, initially within eastern Europe. With the supposed 1989 collapse of the Berlin Wall, communism spread its tentacles across the entire globe. Our own cultural institutions have been subverted to the point we no longer think in terms of national civic life and our Christian faith. This new age of communism has overcome our ancient philosophy. That which should never have been forgotten is lost - for a time.

The more modern manifestations of this centralising 'revolutionary spirit' found expressions with the 1944 Bretton Woods Agreement, the 1945 establishment of the United Nations, the 1975 Lima Agreement, the 1977-80 North-South Dialogue, Gro Harlem Brundtland 1983 Sustainable Development, IPCC reports from 1990 onwards, Earth Summit Brazil 1992, Agenda 21-2030, Klaus Schwab the 2019 Great Reset, Bill Gates and Anthony Fauci, (puppets for Rockefeller Foundation) orchestrating the pandemic by enlisting the WHO. They are all part of the ongoing drive for satanic world control by a world government of which we are experiencing more each day. The many transnational and international trade agreements, whereby national governments are progressively becoming less and less capable of managing their national affairs, builds onto this drive for centralised world government control. <https://archive.org/details/the-annotated-rockefeller-foundation-lockstep-2010>

When Leadership Does Not Come

The role of the King: kingship; is to perform Godly works. Homage is directed towards the King based on 'their

position of service to all men'. Godly thinking comes in greater or lesser degrees to all persons based on their position of service. The role of the Representatives in Parliament is to be a set-apart-elite, as the guardian, a backstop, a sentinel to protect the cultural and public interests of the people. This level of thinking isn't for the faint of heart, but for those who are called to serve and accept their calling. The priest is of such an opportunity to serve the general good by upholding this position of greater responsibility.

All that is required is a little logic to understand that a 'visible lieutenant of God' – whether he is a king, father, or leader – cannot act against the precise instructions given by his invisible captain, God or Christ, without undermining his own authority. So-called national will – which is to say the will of the majority: a plebeian, ignorant, inconsistent, and incoherent will – is accountable to no one. This is a huge error.

Does the 'End' justify the 'Means'?

I had philosophical problem with the following extract from *The Occult War*':

...“A person's commission only remains legitimate, legal and supreme, whatever it may be, whatever tribulations it imposes, and whatever crimes, impieties, extravagances, and abominations it commits, if it is done towards a Truly Godly end. This 'will' does not take the place of the King, but of He who makes all the king's rules – God.....People do not realise that this path remains legally open as soon as the principle of 'national will' has replaced that of 'Divine Right'. All European nations now find themselves on this path. This is the reason why they are so reluctant to fight Bolshevism, which is merely preceding them along it. For ultimately, Bolshevism stems from the same ideological principle: the alleged will of the masses of farmers and workers – alleged, that is, because the masses actually play no part in determining the public will. It is the Anonymous, Imperceptible, and Invulnerable that does so in their stead, here and there. The Anonymous can only be grasped and perceived when it takes the form of the 'people's commissar' ... as one would expect. In other cases, however, it makes sure to conceal itself more prudently. It is the will of the Anonymous which replaces that of kings or even God.....In order to implement this process, however, two things are required: first of all, complete freedom of the press, whereby no authority has the right to muzzle the press, not even when the salvation of the nation is at stake; and secondly, a republican democratic regime, in which the weak men in power have only a casual relation with their ministerial portfolio. The only permanent and hereditary ties these politicians have are with their own portfolios, or rather wallets, which is why they will say: 'After me, the deluge – as long as I can take enough "dough" on board Noah's Ark for my family and me.'....end.

ed-That philosophical problem remained until I read of Stolypin: "*We must all unite in defense of Russia, coordinate our efforts, our duties and our rights in order to maintain one of Russia's historic supreme rights - to be strong.*"

Here was a man - the Prime Minister of Russia under the Czar, fighting for the very survival of Russia against revolutionaries bent on Russia's complete destruction.

Britannica extract here: Pyotr Arkadyevich Stolypin

...Appointed governor of the provinces of Grodno (1902) and Saratov (1903), Stolypin demonstrated his concern for improving the welfare of the peasants as well as his firmness and efficiency in subduing their rebellions. Consequently, he gained the favour of Emperor Nicholas II and was appointed minister of the interior in May 1906. In July he was also named president of the Council of Ministers (i.e., prime minister).

Dismissing the first Duma (the elected legislative body created after the 1905 Revolution) on July 22 (July 9, O.S.), 1906, because it demanded a determining voice in the formulation of an agrarian reform program, Stolypin, by executive decree, introduced his own reforms. These gave the peasantry greater freedom in the selection of their representatives to the zemstvo (local government) councils, removed restrictions that had excluded the peasantry from participating in normal judicial procedures, and, most importantly, provided them with an opportunity to leave their communes, acquire private ownership of consolidated plots of land, and transform themselves, according to Stolypin's wish, into a prosperous, stable, and loyally conservative class of farmers (Oct. and Nov. 1906). Stolypin, however, also instituted a network of courts-martial, which were authorized to try accused rebels and terrorists; within the few months of their existence they used "Stolypin's necktie" (the noose) to execute several thousand defendants; the prime minister gained the enmity of the left wing and much of the centre. He also provoked the opposition of the moderate left when he swiftly dismissed the second Duma (which met from March to June 1907) because it refused to endorse his agrarian reform proposals and when, on the day of its dissolution (June 16 [June 3, O.S.], 1907), he issued—in complete disregard for the recently adopted constitution—a new electoral law reflecting his personal conservatism and Russian nationalism and restricting the franchise of the peasant and worker electorate as well as that of the national minorities...

It is probable that Nicholas was considering his dismissal when Stolypin, while attending an operatic performance with the Emperor, was fatally shot (Sept. 14 [Sept. 1, O.S.], 1911) by Dmitry Bogrov, a revolutionary who had used his police connections to gain admittance to the theatre...end

This issue of OT is discussing ‘demarcocracy’ as the will of the manipulated majority in contra-distinction to the ‘Divine Calling’: to bring about a Godly Kingdom on earth as in heaven. It is a thought process that is uncovering itself within this issue. Should Godly people sit idly by using the voting franchise as the only legitimate expression of important opinion while hell is being unleashed onto this earth? Or are they to accept the ‘Militant Christian Ideal’ to bring about God’s Kingdom on Earth as in Heaven no matter what?

I believe the latter, not the former.

The voting franchise ‘ruse’ has brought about this hell on earth as NZ Prime Minister Jacinda Ardern is reinforcing, by the proposal to lower the voting age even further to 16yo’s. At that age they are barely out of nappies let alone understanding enough to bring about a change of government. The education system has those 16yo’s completely under their brainwashing control for the benefit of world government alone. The voting franchise has been a ruse, leading nations away from genuine leadership towards tyranny. It is a weaponised part of the Occult War against humanity, and especially against God.

Weaponising The Narrative

<https://www.smh.com.au/politics/federal/the-lengths-bell-went-to-to-get-morrison-face-to-face-20221125-p5c1ef.html>

The review by former High Court Justice Virginia Bell was ordered by Prime Minister Anthony Albanese after it was revealed in August that Morrison had himself secretly sworn in to administer the departments of treasury, home affairs, health, finance, and industry, science, energy and resources during his last term in government. No mention was made here of Gough Whitlam and Lance Barnard upon election in December 1972, Whitlam was sworn into 13 Ministry portfolios, with 14 Ministry portfolios by his deputy Lance Barnard, 27 Ministry’s in total to only two people. To ensure there was no breach of propriety all Ministry decisions were made by the Federal Executive Council which has a quorum of three, including the Governor-General or their representative. Sir Paul Hasluck as Governor-General attended all meetings of the Federal Executive Council and participated in all decision-making and was thus effectively the third member of the first Whitlam government. <https://www.naa.gov.au/explore-collection/australias-prime-ministers/gough-whitlam/during-office>

OT Vol 58 No 33 Whitlam Ministry of 3 reference here:

<https://alor.org/Storage/OnTarget/Volume58/Vol58No33.htm>

<https://www.eurekastreet.com.au/article/opening-whitlam-s-cabinet>

Victorian Election : The Washup

‘The voice of the people is not the voice of god’: it is a perspective that directs thinking to the lowest common denominator - the crowd. The voice of the people carries a presumption that the collective is capable of coming to a correct and profound conclusion on any particular matter, which is a nonsense. The manipulators from the MSM know only too well their power to redirect public

opinion for their own purposes. Dan’s personal staff of some 90 odd, is in contrast to the Prime Minister’s personal staff of some 50 odd. You get what you pay for - the taxpayer does at least.

A question carefully ‘not considered’ by the electorates for this recent Victorian election is whether we should continue on with world government policy or not?

Aristocracy (Sentinel) As A Public Good

It’s only in a position of disciplined-scholarship and service that Truths are slowly revealed. They are not necessarily self-evident. Education could be such an institution of grace but has been transposed into a weapon of societal deconstruction. I’ve witnessed the early stages of the influx of Socialist trained teachers entering the education system during the 1960s-70s. They, those teachers, were sold a utopian dream not based on practical reality at all. This utopian dream is being pursued by Victorians with their election result. They do not fear world government but are anxiously awaiting their chains of servitude.

You cannot serve both God and mammon

A point noted more so after having read de Poncins is the role of mammon and my own educated thinking. The real world provides all my sustenance. Air, water, food, clothing and shelter are all naturally occurring. Shelter, clothing and food are a consequence of man’s engagement with the natural world. It is only when we consider the money economy, not the real economy, that we begin to drift away from solid thinking.

Our thinking changed around the 1600s as the landed gentry were replaced by the monied gentry across Europe. Money held a greater position than land, being the only medium by which taxes could at that stage be paid. Had the ability to pay in kind continued, individuals could have planted a few more potatoes, or milked a few more cows, or provided military service for the sovereign. But with the monied-economy (running in some ways parallel with the real-economy of goods and services), money was the only means whereby those taxes could be settled. The landed gentry rapidly went into liquidation, in favour of the monied gentry (able to create money out of nothing for their personal benefit).

The great reset is the modern drive to disenfranchise everyone from their assets, by causing inflation to devalue the currencies, then to demand tribute, which will be unable to be satisfied due to ‘lack of sufficient money’ in the hands of all communities, thus bringing about irredeemable debt burdens which will cause all to fall into insolvency. Joseph, with his coat of many colours, pulled this stunt thousands of years ago and it will be repeated again and again until sufficient people wake up to the ruse. Never look at this ‘money question’, and so the revolution follows as planned. The ‘*Achilles Heel of The Conservative Movement*’ was written by ED Butler to reinforce this very blindness regarding the money question that is prevalent across society.

In fact, the university and other research institutions will never investigate the root causes of inflation – ‘cost push’ or ‘demand pull’ – or the issuance being a creation out of nothing with every new loan by central banks. This usurping of creative power – mobilising the real economy of the community to produce goods and services, is never brought into discussion nor analysis.

Another book brought to my attention this past week is the *‘Dismissal of a Premier’* by Bethia Foott. The author’s family was in direct contact with Sir Philip Game the then Governor of NSW involving the Premier Jack Lang dismissal. A comment that jumped from the page was the Governor General’s personal interest within this dismissal of a Premier.

Sir Isaac Alfred Isaacs was an Australian lawyer, politician, and judge who served as the ninth Governor-General of Australia, in office from 1931 to 1936. He had previously served on the High Court of Australia from 1906 to 1931, including as Chief Justice from 1930.

I can only place a memory here of Jack Lang attempting to protect state-employee-funds that were targeted for confiscation by the banksters of that time, being the root cause of Lang’s dismissal. Such is the intrigue of generally unrecorded history. The wrong man was sacked and the people, again, lost out to the money power. ***

A Strong Recommendation For Jack Lang’s Book

‘Why I Fight’ by Peter Davis OT Vol 47 No 17

For many years I have wondered how Adolf Hitler financed ruined-Germany of the early thirties to build the amazing fighting machine that became Nazi Germany in about 7 years. Similarly, I have long wondered about the dismissal of the N.S.W. Lang Government due to its refusal to accept *‘The Premiers’ Plan’* that resulted in our Great Depression of the early thirties. ‘Jack’ Lang’s book provides remarkable insights, in particular, the destruction of the peoples’ bank, the Commonwealth Bank of Australia, and its privatisation.

Over the years I have read many books relating to the world private banking system. Given that Lang’s book was written over 75 years ago, I have no hesitation recommending it to any person desiring knowledge of world finance and trade, together with the negative impact upon individual nations. The book is a treasure trove of intimate precise knowledge. For example, how many people then or even now would believe that The Bank of England had a close relationship with re-establishing the German economy and banking system. This it did at the same time it imposed the restricted credit and high interest rate policy upon Australia under the guise of *‘The Premiers’ Plan’* that Jack Lang fought to defeat, but was himself evicted from Government.... At a time when Australia had no debt owing to the Bank of England.

Lang details the colossal profits flowing to various

banks and associated companies, their interlocking directorships and huge negative impact upon Australia over many years. Remember, we are talking 75 years ago. He details the methods Franklin Delano Roosevelt used to reduce the impact of the depression on Americans; but failed to take the decisive step of regaining control of his Nation’s credit creation and interest rates resulting in the debacle of the now current unrepayable \$13 TRILLION national debt.

Lang meticulously demonstrates that a nation that does not control its own currency, interest rates and credit creation is NOT a Sovereign Government but is held in thrall to the private banking system. The book should be compulsory reading for every student of economics and finance. Repeatedly, the book is prescient. Lang shows that private banking debt becomes impossible to repay with huge negative impact upon our nation.

First time in modern history, the People took on the government and the banks!

The current predicament (2011-ed) of Greece, Ireland, Spain and Portugal are good examples. But the outstanding one is Iceland, where its Government recently refinanced their huge national debt with more private banking credit. But Iceland’s Constitution gave their people the right to take an issue to a national Referendum - and they did.

The government were intent on bailing out the UK and Netherlands governments who had covered the deposits of British and Dutch investors lost in the Icesave bank collapse in 2008. At the time of the (private) bank’s failure, Iceland refused to cover the losses. But the UK and Netherlands demanded that Iceland repay them for the “loan” as a condition for admission into the European Union. On April 9, the fiercely independent people of this island-nation defeated the referendum and in effect told Europe to go pound sand!

An article explaining the Iceland situation is here:

[Now for an Angle with a Populist Tilt](https://alor.org/Storage/OnTarget/Volume47/Vol47No15.htm)

<https://alor.org/Storage/OnTarget/Volume47/Vol47No15.htm>

[“Australia 2000: What will we tell our children ?”](https://alor.org/Storage/Library/PDF/Lee%20J%20-%20Australia%202000%20What%20Will%20We%20Tell%20Our%20Children.pdf)

Jeremy Lee’s book explained what Jack Lang, former NSW Premier wrote about 75 years ago.

<https://alor.org/Storage/Library/PDF/Lee%20J%20-%20Australia%202000%20What%20Will%20We%20Tell%20Our%20Children.pdf>

Subscription to On Target \$45.00 p.a.

NewTimes Survey \$30.00 p.a.

Donations can be performed by direct bank transfer:

A/c Title Australian League of Rights (SA Branch)

BSB 105-044

A/c No. 188-040-840

or cheques to: ‘Australian League of Rights (SA Branch)’

Postal Address: **PO Box 27, Happy Valley, SA 5159.**

Telephone: **08 8322 8923** **eMail:** heritagebooks@alor.org

Online Bookstore : <https://veritasbooks.com.au/>

Our main website of the Douglas Social Credit and Freedom Movement “Archives” :: <https://alor.org/>

On Target is printed and authorised by A. J. Luks

13 Carsten Court, Happy Valley, SA.